1 2 3 4 5	GALENA WEST Chief of Enforcement ANGELA J. BRERETON Senior Commission Counsel FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION 428 J Street, Suite 620 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 322-5771 Email: abrereton@fppc.ca.gov	ON				
6	Attorneys for Complainant					
7	Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission					
8	DEFORE THE EARD BOLITICAL DRACTICES COMMISSION					
9	BEFORE THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION					
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
11	In the Matter of) FPPC Case No. 15/003				
12						
13	SUSAN G. SHELLEY, SUSAN SHELLEY FOR ASSEMBLY 2013 and SUSAN SHELLEY FOR ASSEMBLY)) AMENDED REPORT IN SUPPORT OF A				
14) FINDING OF PROBABLE CAUSE				
15	2014,) Conference Date: TBA) Conference Time: TBA				
16) Conference Location: Commission Offices) 428 J Street, Suite 620				
17	Respondents.	Sacramento, CA 95814				
18	INTRODUCTION					
19	Respondent Susan G. Shelley was a successful candidate for California State Assembly, 45 th					
20	District, in the September 17, 2013 special primary election and an unsuccessful candidate in the					
21	November 19, 2013 special general election. Shelley was also a successful candidate for the 45 th					
22	Assembly District in the June 3, 2014 primary election and an unsuccessful candidate in the November					
23	4, 2014 general election. Respondent Susan Shelley for Assembly 2013 (2013 Committee) was Shelley's					
24	candidate controlled committee for the 2013 special elections. Respondent Susan Shelley for Assembly					
25	2014 (2014 Committee) was Shelley's candidate controlled committee for the 2014 elections. At all					
26	relevant times, Shelley was treasurer for both the 2013 and 2014 Committees.					
27	/// ·					
28	1					
		OF A FINDING OF PROBABLE CAUSE se No. 15/003				

///

This case arose from a Franchise Tax Board ("FTB") audit of the 2013 and 2014 Committees for the period of January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013. During the period covered by the audit, the 2013 Committee reported receiving contributions of approximately \$99,091 and making expenditures of approximately \$104,940, and the 2014 Committee reported receiving contributions of approximately \$47,413 and making expenditures of approximately \$40,207. Taking into consideration transfers between the 2013 and 2014 committees, total receipts for both committees during the audit period was approximately \$115,604, and total expenditures was approximately \$114,246.

The Enforcement Division also reviewed the 2014 Committee campaign statements for reporting periods between January 1, 2014 and June 30, 2015. During this time, the 2014 Committee reported receiving contributions of approximately \$124,465 and making expenditures of approximately \$126.641.

As a candidate and her controlled committees under the Political Reform Act¹ (Act), Shelley and the 2013 and 2014 Committees had a duty to timely file campaign statements and disclose particular information. But Shelley and the 2013 and 2014 Committees each failed to timely file four preelection campaign statements. And Shelley and the 2014 Committee failed to file two \$5,000 reports disclosing \$30,000 in loans from Shelley, and failed to timely file a \$5,000 report disclosing two contributions totaling \$8,200 from a small contributor committee.

SUMMARY OF THE LAW

All legal references and discussions of law pertain to the Act's provisions as they existed at the time of the applicable violations.

Jurisdiction

The Fair Political Practices Commission (the "Commission") has administrative jurisdiction to enforce the provisions of the Act.²

¹ The Political Reform Act is contained in Government Code §§ 81000 through 91014, and all statutory references are to this code. The regulations of the Fair Political Practices Commission are contained in §§ 18110 through 18997 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations, and all regulatory references are to this source.

² § 83116.

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Probable Cause Proceedings

Prior to the Enforcement Division commencing an administrative action, the General Counsel of the Commission or her designee (the "hearing officer"), must make a finding that there is probable cause to believe the respondent has violated the Act.³ After a finding of probable cause, the Commission may hold a noticed hearing in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act⁴ to determine whether violations occurred, and levy an administrative penalty of up to \$5,000 for each violation.⁵

Standard for Finding Probable Cause

To make a finding of probable cause, the hearing officer must be presented with sufficient evidence to lead a person of ordinary caution and prudence to believe, or entertain a strong suspicion, that a respondent committed or caused a violation.⁶

Need for Liberal Construction and Vigorous Enforcement of the Political Reform Act

When enacting the Political Reform Act, the people of the state of California found and declared that previous laws regulating political practices suffered from inadequate enforcement by state and local authorities.⁷ To that end, the Act must be liberally construed to achieve its purposes.⁸

There are many purposes of the Act. One purpose is to ensure that receipts and expenditures in election campaigns are fully and truthfully disclosed, so that voters may be fully informed, and improper practices may be inhibited.⁹ Another is to provide adequate enforcement mechanisms so that the Act will be "vigorously enforced."¹⁰

Definition of Controlled Committee

A "committee" includes any person or combination of persons who receives contributions totaling \$1,000 or more in a calendar year, 11 commonly known as a "recipient committee." A recipient

³ § 83115.5, and Reg. 18361 and 18361.4.

⁴ § 11500, et seq.

⁵ § 83116, and Reg. 18361.4, subd. (e).

⁶ Reg. 18361.4, subd. (e).

⁷ § 81001, subd. (h).

⁸ § 81003.

⁹ § 81002, subd. (a),

^{10 § 81002,} subd. (f).

^{11 § 82013,} subd. (a).

committee which is controlled directly or indirectly by a candidate, or which acts jointly with a candidate in connection with the making of expenditures, is a "controlled committee." ¹²

Duty to File Preelection Campaign Statements

All candidates for offices being voted upon in elections not held in June or November of an evennumbered year and their controlled committees must file preelection statements as follows: 1) For the period ending 45 days before the election, the statement must be filed no later than 40 days before the election; 2) For the period ending 17 days before the election, the statement must be filed no later than 12 days before the election.¹³

For the September 17, 2013 special primary election, the first preelection statement was due on August 8, 2013 for the reporting period of January 1 through August 3, 2013, and the second preelection statement was due on September 5, 2013 for the reporting period of August 4 through August 31, 2013.

For the November 19, 2013 special general election, the first preelection statement was due on October 10, 2013 for the reporting period of September 1 through October 5, 2013, and the second preelection statement was due on November 7, 2013 for the reporting period of October 6 through November 2, 2013.

Duty to File Reports Online

A candidate for state elective office and his or her controlled committee must file campaign statements, reports, or other documents online or electronically when the total cumulative reportable amount of contributions received, expenditures made, loans made, or loans received is \$25,000 or more. In determining the cumulative reportable amount, all controlled committees, as defined by Section 82016, must be included. Once a person meets the \$25,000 threshold and qualifies as an electronic filer, the person must file all following reports online or electronically. Electronic filers must

^{12 § 82016,} subd. (a).

^{13 §§ 84200.5,} subd. (c), and 84200.8, subd. (a) and (b).

¹⁴ § 84605, subd. (a)(1).

¹⁵ Ibid.

¹⁶ § 84605, subd. (d).

continue to file statements and reports in paper format, which is the official filing for audit and other legal purposes.¹⁷

Election Cycle

"Election cycle" means the period of time beginning 90 days prior to an election and ending on the date of the election. On July 2, 2013, the Governor called for a special primary election pursuant to the California Elections Code. A special general election would not be required if a candidate won more than 50% of the vote in the special primary election.

Because the governor called for the special election within the 90-day election cycle, the election cycle for the September 17, 2013 special primary election began on July 2, 2013 and ended on September 17, 2013. Because the special general election would only be held if necessary, the election cycle for the November 19, 2013 special general election began on September 18, 2013 and ended on November 19, 2013.

For the June 3, 2014 primary election, the election cycle began on March 5, 2014.

Duty to Report Contributions of \$5,000 or More Received Outside the Election Cycle

A candidate for elective state office who qualifies as an electronic filer must file a campaign report online or electronically disclosing receipt of every contribution of \$5,000 or more that is received outside of the election cycle. §5,000 reports must disclose the same contributor information required by Section 84203 subdivision (a), and must be filed within 10 business days of receipt of the contribution. ²⁰

Candidate and Treasurer Liability

Every committee must have a treasurer.²¹ It is the duty of a committee's candidate and treasurer to ensure that the committee complies with all of the requirements of the Act concerning the receipt and

¹⁷ § 84605, subd. (f).

¹⁸ 8 85204

¹⁹ § 85309, subd. (c).

²⁰ § 85309, subd. (c); See also § 84203, subd. (a).

²¹ § 84100.

expenditure of funds and the reporting of such funds.²² A committee's candidate and treasurer may be held jointly and severally liable with the committee for any reporting violations.²³

SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE

Robert Blumenfield, a member of the California State Assembly, representing the 45th District, was scheduled to term out of office in 2014. In March 2013, Blumenfield won election to the Los Angeles City Council, 3rd District. Blumenfield's term on the LA City Council began in July 2013, so he did not vacate his Assembly office until June 30, 2013. Candidates wishing to raise funds before July 2013 to campaign for Blumenfield's vacated Assembly seat were instructed to first establish 2014 campaign committees, then transfer the funds to 2013 campaign committees once Blumenfield officially vacated the seat.

In January 2013, Shelley filed her statement of intention to run for the 45th Assembly District seat in the 2014 election. She filed a statement of organization for the 2014 Committee on February 20, 2013, with a qualifying date of February 8, 2013. And following Blumenfield vacating the seat, she filed a new statement of intention on July 10, 2013 for the 2013 Special elections, and a statement of organization for the 2013 Committee on July 11, 2013, with a qualifying date of July 8, 2013.

FTB's audit found, and the Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission confirmed, that Shelley and the 2013 and 2014 Committees substantially complied with the Act's campaign reporting requirements. However, Shelley and the 2013 and 2014 Committees failed to timely file several preelection campaign statements. The charts below details all of the preelection and semiannual campaign statements filed by Shelley and the 2013 and 2014 Committees during the audit period:

25

26

27

28

24

²² § 84100; Reg. 18427.

^{23 &}amp; 83116.5 and 91006; Reg. 18316.6.

	Election Date	Reporting Period	Date Due	Date Filed Hardcopy	No. Days Late H/C	1 1	No. Days Late e-Filed	Approx. Total Contrib. Reported	Approx. Total Expend. Reported
		1	2	2013 Commi	4		0 1 1100	reported	reported
	09/17/2013 Special Primary	01/01 — 08/03/2013	08/08/2013	10/02/2013	55	08/19/2013	11	\$18,155	\$10,147
	09/17/2013 Special Primary	08/04 – 08/31/2013	09/05/2013	10/02/2013	27	09/06/2013	1	\$5,479	\$9,696
	11/19/2013 Special General	09/01 — 10/05/2013	10/10/2013	02/06/2014	119	10/21/2013	11	\$23,212	\$20,294
	11/19/2013 Special General	10/06 – 11/02/2013	11/07/2013	02/06/2014	91	01/31/2014	85	\$36,580	\$28,663
	Post-election Semi-annual	11/03 – 12/31/2013	01/31/2014	02/06/2014	6	01/31/2014	0	\$15,665	\$36,139
			Approximat	te Total Repo	rted I	Ouring Audit	Period	\$99,091	\$104,939
			2	014 Commi	ttee				
	06/03/2014 Primary ²⁴	01/01 – 03/31/2013	n/a ²⁵	04/29/2013	n/a	04/22/2013	n/a	\$30,524	\$2,213
	06/03/2014 Primary	04/01 – 06/30/2013	07/31/2013	07/31/2013	0	07/30/2013	0	\$6,820	\$4,867
	09/17/2013 Special Primary	07/01 08/03/2013	08/08/2013	10/02/2013	55	08/19/2013	11	\$3,225	\$19,007
	09/17/2013 Special Primary	08/04 – 08/31/2013	09/05/2013	10/02/2013	27	09/06/2013	1	\$50	\$83
ĺ	11/19/2013 Special General	09/01 – 10/05/2013	10/10/2013	02/06/2014	119	10/21/2013	11	\$330	\$13,597
	11/19/2013 Special General	10/06 – 11/02/2013	11/07/2013	02/06/2014	91	01/31/2014	85	\$266	\$0
	Post-election Semi-annual	11/03 – 12/31/2013	01/31/2014	02/06/2014	6	01/31/2014	0	\$6,198	\$440
			Approximat	e Total Repo	rted I	Ouring Audit	Period	\$47,413	\$40,207

25

26

27

28

The date for the Special Primary election was not yet set.
 Shelley and the 2014 Committee were not required to file this campaign statement because they did not make contributions of \$10,000 or more to elected state officers, their controlled committees, or committees primarily formed to support or oppose any elected state officer during the reporting period pursuant to Section 84202.7.

The above reported figures include transfers between the 2013 and 2014 committees totaling \$30,900. So total receipts for both committees during the audit period was approximately \$115,604, and total expenditures was approximately \$114,246.

The evidence obtained during this investigation showed that although Shelley was a first-time state candidate, she had previously run for Congress, and Shelley recalled that federal campaign disclosure rules required candidates to file all statements electronically. Shelley told Enforcement Division staff that when she began her campaign for Assembly in 2013, she did not know that the Act required campaign statements to be filed in both paper and electronic formats. But Shelley and the 2014 Committee first filed a hardcopy statement on April 29, 2013, so from at least that date forward, Shelley knew or should have known of the requirement to file hardcopies of campaign statements. Significantly, Shelley and the 2014 Committee filed the hardcopy timely for the semi-annual campaign statement which was due July 31, 2013.

Even so, during the remainder of the audit period, Shelley and the 2013 and 2014 Committees continually filed hardcopies for preelection statements late. Shelley and the 2013 and 2014 Committees filed hardcopies for the preelection statements related to the Special Primary election ranging from 27 to 55 days late. And Shelley and the 2013 and 2014 Committees did not file hardcopies for the preelection statements related to the Special General election until February 6, 2014, ranging from 91 to 119 days late. And making things worse, for the preelection period ending November 2, 2013, Shelley and the 2013 and 2014 Committees did not file electronically or in hardcopy until over two months after the Special General election, so no disclosure was available to the public in any format before the date of the Special General election.

The late-reported figures represent approximately 48.78% of the total receipts and 61.79% of the total expenses reported during the audit period for the 2013 and 2014 Committees.

25

26

27

28

///

24

25

26

28

27

///

Additionally, the 2014 Committee failed to file two \$5,000 reports for \$30,000 in loans from Shelley as follows:

Date of Contribution	Report Due Date	Contribution Amount
03/22/2013	04/05/2013	\$25,000
06/30/2013	07/12/2013	\$5,000

Shelley and the 2014 Committee qualified as electronic filers on March 22, 2013 with the receipt of the \$25,000 loan. The loans were ultimately reported in the applicable preelection campaign statements, which were filed late. These non-reported figures represent 20.48% of total receipts during the audit period for the 2013 and 2014 Committees.

Shelley and the 2014 Committee failed to timely file a \$5,000 report by the March 3, 2014 due date for two contributions totaling \$8,200 from the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association Small Contributor Committee as follows:

Date of Contribution	Report Due Date	Contribution Amount
02/14/2014	03/03/2014	\$5,200 (Primary Election)
. 02/14/2014	03/03/2014	\$3,000 (General Election)

Shelley and the 2014 Committee filed the report 21 days late on March 24, 2014, and disclosed the contributions in the 2014 Committee's preelection statement also filed on March 24, 2014. The latereported contributions represent 6.5% of the 2014 Committee's reported contributions for reporting periods between January 1, 2014 and June 30, 2015.

VIOLATIONS

- Shelley and the 2013 Committee
- Preelection Campaign Statements
- Count 1: Late filing of Preelection Campaign Statement

Shelley and the 2013 Committee failed to timely file a preelection campaign statement related to the September 17, 2013 Special Primary election by the due date of August 8, 2013, violating Government Code Sections 84200.5, subdivision (c), and 84200.8, subdivision (a).

10

9

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

!7 |

///

Count 2: Late filing of Preelection Campaign Statement

Shelley and the 2013 Committee failed to timely file a preelection campaign statement related to the September 17, 2013 Special Primary election by the due date of September 5, 2013, violating Government Code Sections 84200.5, subdivision (c), and 84200.8, subdivision (b).

Count 3: Late filing of Preelection Campaign Statement

Shelley and the 2013 Committee failed to timely file a preelection campaign statement related to the November 19, 2013 Special General election by the due date of October 10, 2013, violating Government Code Sections 84200.5, subdivision (c), and 84200.8, subdivision (a).

Count 4: Late filing of Preelection Campaign Statement

Shelley and the 2013 Committee failed to timely file a preelection campaign statement related to the November 19, 2013 Special General election by the due date of November 7, 2013, violating Government Code Sections 84200.5, subdivision (c), and 84200.8, subdivision (b).

Shelley and the 2014 Committee

Preelection Campaign Statements

Count 5: Late filing of Preelection Campaign Statement

Shelley and the 2014 Committee failed to timely file a preelection campaign statement related to the September 17, 2013 Special Primary election by the due date of August 8, 2013, violating Government Code Sections 84200.5, subdivision (c), and 84200.8, subdivision (a).

Count 6: Late filing of Preelection Campaign Statement

Shelley and the 2014 Committee failed to timely file a preelection campaign statement related to the September 17, 2013 Special Primary election by the due date of September 5, 2013, violating Government Code Sections 84200.5, subdivision (c), and 84200.8, subdivision (b).

Count 7: Late filing of Preelection Campaign Statement

Shelley and the 2014 Committee failed to timely file a preelection campaign statement related to the November 19, 2013 Special General election by the due date of October 10, 2013, violating Government Code Sections 84200.5, subdivision (c), and 84200.8, subdivision (a).

ın

Count 8: Late filing of Preelection Campaign Statement

Shelley and the 2014 Committee failed to timely file a preelection campaign statement related to the November 19, 2013 Special General election by the due date of November 7, 2013, violating Government Code Sections 84200.5, subdivision (c), and 84200.8, subdivision (b).

\$5,000 Reports

Count 9: Failure to File \$5,000 Report

Shelley and the 2014 Committee failed to timely file a \$5,000 report due April 5, 2013 disclosing receipt of \$25,000 in loans from Shelley outside the 90-day election cycle, violating Section 85309, subdivision (c).

Count 10: Failure to File \$5,000 Report

Shelley and the 2014 Committee failed to timely file a \$5,000 report due July 12, 2013 disclosing receipt of \$5,000 in loans from Shelley outside the 90-day election cycle, violating Section 85309, subdivision (c).

Count 11: Failure to File \$5,000 Report

Shelley and the 2014 Committee failed to timely file a \$5,000 report due March 3, 2014 disclosing receipt of an \$8,200 contribution outside the 90-day election cycle, violating Section 85309, subdivision (c).

OTHER RELEVANT MATERIAL AND ARGUMENTS

In this case, Shelley and the 2013 and 2014 Committees each failed to timely file four preelection campaign statements. Even though they knew to file hardcopies in addition to the electronically filed statements, Shelley and the 2013 and 2014 Committees continued to file hardcopies several months late. And for the preelection period immediately before the Special General election, Shelley and the 2013 and 2014 Committees did not file any campaign statements in any format, so no disclosure was available to the public immediately before the Special General election.

The late-reported statements included nearly 49% of total receipts and nearly 62% of total expenses reported during the audit period for the 2013 and 2014 Committees.

Additionally, Shelley and the 2014 Committee failed to file two \$5,000 reports disclosing \$30,000 in loans from Shelley. These loans represent over 25% of total receipts during the audit period for the 2013 and 2014 Committees. Shelley and the 2014 Committee also failed to timely file a \$5,000 report by the March 3, 2014 due date for two contributions totaling \$8,200, which represents 6.5% of total receipts for the 2014 Committee between January 1, 2014 and June 30, 2015.

EXCULPATORY AND MITIGATING INFORMATION

In mitigation, Shelley and the 2013 and 2014 Committees filed three of the four preelection campaign statements electronically within a few days after the filing deadlines and before the applicable elections. The \$30,000 in loans were eventually disclosed in late-filed preelection campaign statements. And Shelley and the 2014 Committee disclosed the \$8,200 in contributions in a report and in a preelection statement both filed on March 24, 2014, over two months before the June 3, 2014 primary election. Additionally, the \$8,200 in contributions were properly disclosed by the contributor in its preelection campaign statement filed on March 18, 2014.

The evidence shows that Shelley was a first-time candidate for state office acting as her own campaign treasurer, and she made a good-faith effort to familiarize herself with and comply with the complex and compressed reporting requirements for the special elections. Additionally, the evidence demonstrates Shelley consulted Commission staff throughout her campaign regarding disclosure issues.

Shelley and the 2013 and 2014 Committees have no history of enforcement action. A review of the audit report and supporting papers indicates no evidence of deliberate concealment or intent to deceive the public. The Enforcement Division confirmed the FTB's audit findings showing that Shelley and the 2013 and 2014 Committees substantially complied with the Act's campaign reporting requirements during the audit period, as well as in campaign statements for reporting periods between January 1, 2014 and June 30, 2015.

25

26

27

28

CONCLUSION

Probable cause exists to believe that Respondent Susan G. Shelley, Susan Shelley for Assembly
2013 and Susan Shelley for Assembly 2014 committed 11 violations of the Act, as set forth above. The
Enforcement Division respectfully requests an order finding probable cause pursuant to Section 83115.5
and Regulation 18361.4.

Dated: April 18, 2017

Respectfully Submitted,

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

By: Galena West Chief of Enforcement

Senior Commission Counsel **Enforcement Division**